

Leeds City Council

Decision Statement – Collingham Neighbourhood Plan

(The Town & Country planning Act 1990 – Schedule 4B and The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 – Part 5, regulation 18)

1. Summary

- 1.1 Following an independent examination, Leeds City Council now confirms that it is making modifications to the Collingham Neighbourhood Plan as set out in Table 1 below. The Plan will then proceed to a Neighbourhood Planning Referendum.
- 1.2 The Examiners recommended that the Collingham Neighbourhood Area should be expanded, for the purposes of the referendum, to include 42 properties within the neighbouring Parish of East Keswick that form part of the built-up area of Collingham. On closer inspection there are 48 such properties therefore the Neighbourhood Plan will proceed to a referendum based on the Collingham Neighbourhood Area, as designated by Leeds City Council on 15th August 2013 and the 48 properties that form part of the built up area of Collingham but lie within East Keswick Parish.
- 1.3 This Decision Statement, the examiner's report and the Collingham Neighbourhood Plan Proposal and supporting documentation are available on the Council's website: <http://www.leeds.gov.uk/council/Pages/Neighbourhood-planning.aspx>. They are also on the Collingham with Linton Parish Council website <http://www.clpc.info/collingham-neighbourhood-plan.html>.
- 1.4 Hard copies of the Decision Statement are available for inspection at:
 - Leeds City Council, City Development Department, The Leonardo Building, 2 Rossington Street, Leeds, LS2 8HD (Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 8.30am –5.00pm, Weds 9.30am – 5.00pm)
 - Collingham Memorial Hall, Main Street, Collingham, LS22 5AS (during opening hours)
 - Wetherby Library, 17 Westgate, Wetherby, LS22 6LL (Mon 10am – 5pm, Tues 9am – 7pm, Weds – Fri 9am – 5pm , Sat 10am – 4pm)

2. Background

- 2.1 Collingham with Linton Parish Council, as the qualifying body, submitted an application to Leeds City Council on 12th March 2013 for the designation of part of the parish as the Collingham Neighbourhood Area. The neighbourhood area was designated by Leeds City Council on 15th August 2013.

- 2.2 The Collingham Neighbourhood Plan was publicised by Collingham with Linton Parish Council for pre-submission consultation (Regulation 14) in October 2015 which ended on Sunday 6th December 2015.
- 2.3 Following the submission of the draft Collingham Neighbourhood Plan to the Council on 14th June 2016, the Plan was publicised and representations were invited. The publicity period ran for 6 weeks and ended on Monday 29th August 2016.
- 2.4 The Council, with the agreement of Collingham with Linton Parish Council, appointed an independent examiner, Mr Nigel McGurk BSc(Hons) MCD MBA MRTPI, to consider whether the Plan met the 'Basic Conditions' required by legislation and should proceed to referendum.
- 2.5 The Examiner's Report was published on the Leeds City Council website on 22nd November 2016 and has been made available for public viewing. The report concludes that, subject to making the modifications recommended by the Examiner, the Collingham Neighbourhood Plan meets the Basic Conditions set out in legislation and should proceed to Referendum.
- 2.6 The referendum area should include all of the designated Neighbourhood Area as well as 42 properties within the neighbouring Parish of East Keswick that form part of the built-up area of Collingham. The examiner considers that these properties will be affected by the Policies of the Collingham Neighbourhood Plan. The Council has subsequently determined that there are 48 rather than 42 properties and these will be included in the referendum area.
- 2.7 Following receipt of the Examiner's Report, the Council is required to consider each of the modifications recommended with the reasons for them and decide what action to take.

3. Decisions and Reasons

- 3.1 The Examiner has concluded that with the specified modifications, the Collingham Neighbourhood Plan meets the Basic Conditions stated and other relevant legal requirements. These are outlined in Table 1 below.
- 3.2 The Council accepts all of the modifications and the reasons put forward by the Examiner for them. The Examiner's reasons and recommended modifications are set out in Table 1, followed by the Council's reason and decisions. The Council proposes a further modification under M14 to delete "5 and 6" and "7" to correct a minor error and ensure the deletion of all numbers on Map 3, not just "2" and "4" as recommended by the Examiner. This is highlighted in blue in Table 1 below.
- 3.3 The Council is satisfied that subject to those modifications being made to the Plan as set out in Table 1, that the Plan meets the basic conditions mentioned in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, is compatible with the Convention rights and complies with the provision made by or under 61E(2), 61J and 61L of the said Act.
- 3.4 The Council agrees with the Examiner's recommendation that the Neighbourhood Plan Area should be extended for the purpose of a holding a referendum though to include 48 properties rather than the 42 stated.
- 3.5 To meet the requirements of the Localism Act 2011, a referendum which poses the question "Do you want Leeds City Council to use the Neighbourhood Plan for Collingham to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?" will be held in the extended Neighbourhood Plan Area described in 3.4 above.

This Statement is dated 15th March 2017.

TABLE 1 Schedule of Modifications Recommended in the Examiner’s Report

Modification Number	Page/Part of the Plan	Examiner’s recommended changes	Reason	Leeds City Council’s decision and reason
Introduction				
M1	Front cover	Delete “Draft June 2016”	Reference to a draft publication date provided relevant information at the Submission stage however deletion of the date now provides clarity.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to provide clarity.
M2	Introduction, page 6, para 2.5	Add to the final sentence “...this aim during the plan period <i>up to 2028.</i> ”	In the interests of precision and clarity, the Introduction should confirm the plan period	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to provide precision and clarity.
M3	Introduction, page 6, para 2.6	Change to “...or <i>Order has regard to national planning policy and advice and is in general conformity with the strategic planning policies for the wider area adopted by the local authority, along with other legal requirements, people...a Referendum. If...</i> ”	It is important that the basic conditions are not misinterpreted, as they are central to Neighbourhood Planning.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to avoid misinterpretation of the Basic Conditions which will make the Neighbourhood Plan precise.
M4	Introduction, page 6, para 2.2	First line, change to “ <i>The planning system promotes sustainable development and the residents...</i> ”	To correct errors and draw attention to the fact that, whilst it does not need to, a neighbourhood plan can allocate land for development.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to correct errors.
M5	Introduction, page 6, para 2.3	First sentence, change to “This Plan <i>does not identify</i> specific sites...be built. Delete second sentence.”	To correct errors and draw attention to the fact that, whilst it does not need to, a neighbourhood plan can allocate land for development.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to correct errors.
M6	Introduction, page 9	Delete Paras 5.4, 5.5, 5.8 and 5.9	Paragraphs 5.4, 5.5, 5.8 and 5.9 provide information that was relevant at the Submission stage, but which is now largely out of date.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to remove out of date information.
M7	Introduction, page 10, Para 7.2	Delete last sentence (“Accordingly they will be...dictate otherwise.”)	Part of paragraph 7.2 reads as though it was a policy, which it is not.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations

				to ensure the text does not read as a policy.
M8	Introduction, page 12	Delete "Map 2" and replace with a clearly legible reproduction. (If this is not possible, do not retain the existing, blurred plan.)	Whilst the historic plan presented on page 12 appears as though it may be interesting, its reproduction is blurred to the extent that it is illegible. If the plan is to be included, it is important that it is clearly presented.	Agree to modify the plan as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to delete "Map 2" as it is illegible. It is not possible to replace it with a legible reproduction.
M9	Introduction, page 13, para 9.3	Line 4, change to "...sports clubs..."	There is an error on Page 13.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to correct the error.
Policy A: Protecting the Village Setting				
M10	Protecting the Village Setting, page 15, para 11.5	Change to " <i>Appendix 1 provides guidance in respect of the impact on village setting that might arise from planning proposals.</i> "	The final paragraph on page 15 reads as though it was a Policy requirement, which is not.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to ensure the text does not read as a policy.
M11	Policy A, page 16	Policy A, delete first sentence.	It is not clear how the first sentence of the Policy will be implemented. It requires all development to preserve Collingham's village setting " <i>by taking into account</i> " that it is " <i>part of a community of small rural villages.</i> " No detail is provided in respect of how, or why, being part of a community can, or should be, " <i>preserved.</i> " For example, the Policy does not set out the specific physical character traits of the " <i>community</i> " worthy of preservation. This part of the Policy does not provide a decision maker with a clear indication of how to react to a development proposal, having regard to Paragraph 154 of the Framework.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove that part of the policy relating to implementation.
M12	Policy A, page 16	Policy A, second sentence, change to " <i>Development must respect Collingham's landscape character.</i> "	It is not clear how, or why, all development can, or " <i>shall,</i> " have a positive impact on the special features of the village. The Policy provides no detail in respect of what these special features comprise, or why it would be relevant, or even possible, appropriate or	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove text that is unclear.

			viable, for every development to have a positive impact on them.	
M13	Maps, page 51, map 3, adjacent table	Delete the table alongside the plan.	Map 3 is not referred to in any other Policy in the Neighbourhood Plan. It is therefore unclear why it sets out, in tabular form, views " <i>to be protected.</i> " No Policy in the Neighbourhood Plan protects views. This is confusing and detracts from the precise nature of the document as a whole.	Agree to delete the table alongside the plan to comply with examiner's recommendations to avoid confusion and to prevent the table from detracting from the precise nature of the document as a whole.
M14	Maps, page 51, map 3	Delete the numbered arrows on the plan and delete the numbers "2" and "4"	No Policy in the Neighbourhood Plan protects views. This is confusing and detracts from the precise nature of the document as a whole.	Agree to delete the numbered arrows on the plan and delete the numbers "2" and "4" to comply with the examiner's recommendations and remove confusing details which detract from the precise nature of the document as a whole. Also delete "5 and 6" and "7" to correct a minor error and ensure the deletion of all numbers.
M15	Maps, page 51, map 3	Change the title of the plan to " <i>Map 3, Open Landscape</i> "	" <i>Map 3</i> " is incorrectly titled as Policy A does not identify views and vistas to be protected.	Agree to change the title of the plan to comply with the examiner's recommendations to give the map a more appropriate title.
M16	Protecting the Village Setting, page 15, para 11.4	Delete para 11.4	No Policy in the Neighbourhood Plan protects key views.	Agree to modify the text to comply with the examiner's recommendations. The examiner has recommended (M13, M14, M15) that references to "the protection of key views" are deleted; deleting para 11.4 will complete this

				modification.
Policy B: Protecting Local Heritage Assets				
M17	Protecting Local Heritage Assets, page 17, para 12.2	Delete last sentence and replace with <i>"Collingham has a Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan and a Village Design Statement."</i>	Paragraph 12.2 states that Collingham is protected by a Village Design Statement. Whilst a Village Design Statement exists, it does not necessarily <i>"protect Collingham,"</i> as indicated.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove an inaccurate statement.
M18	Policy B, page 20	Delete Policy B	<p>Whilst Policy B seeks to protect heritage assets, it does not comprise a land use planning policy, but rather, it simply requires the provision of a report by an <i>"appropriate expert."</i></p> <p>No indication of who, or what, an appropriate expert might be is provided and consequently, the Policy is imprecise. Furthermore, no indication is provided in respect of who will assess the appropriate expert's report, or on what basis. It is not clear, for example, what would happen if the appropriate expert's report raised points which others disagreed with.</p> <p>In this regard and in setting out the recommendations below, I am also mindful that the Policy refers to <i>"where development has the potential to have a negative impact."</i> No indication is provided in respect of when, or where, this potential might arise, or what a negative impact is. The Policy is imprecise and it does not provide a decision maker with a clear indication of how to react to a development proposal.</p>	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove an imprecise policy which does not provide a decision maker with a clear indication of how to react to a development proposal.
M19	Policy B, page 20	Add to list of Projects in the green box on page 20, <i>"The Parish Council will encourage development proposals to take full account of heritage assets and their settings."</i>	Whilst Policy B seeks to protect heritage assets, it does not comprise a land use planning policy.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to encourage development proposals to take full account of heritage assets and their settings.

Policy C: Archaeology				
M20	Policy C, page 21	Delete Policy C	<p>Policy C is vague and imprecise. It simply refers to “<i>areas with an opportunity to encounter archaeological remains.</i>” No indication is provided of where these are or what the opportunities might be. Consequently, there is no clarity in terms of when, or where, the Policy might apply.</p> <p>The Policy goes on to refer to “<i>appropriate investigations/recording.</i>” However, no indication is provided of what might be appropriate.</p> <p>Taking the above into account, Policy C requires something to take place without providing any indication of precisely what should take place, when or where. The Policy imprecise and fails to have regard to Planning Practice Guidance.</p>	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to remove a vague and imprecise policy.
M21	Policy C, page 21	Create a new “ <i>Project: The Parish Council will seek to monitor planning applications and ensure that those impacting on areas where there may be archaeological remains provide for appropriate investigations and recording.</i> ”	Taking the above into account, Policy C requires something to take place without providing any indication of precisely what should take place, when or where. The Policy imprecise and fails to have regard to Planning Practice Guidance.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to create a new project to monitor planning applications, including those impacting on areas where there may be archaeological remains.
Policy E: Community Involvement				
M22	Policy E, page 26	Delete Policy E	Community involvement is of significant benefit, but it is not a statutory requirement and it is not open for the Neighbourhood Plan to seek to change the planning application system in the form of placing additional requirements upon developers and the local planning authority.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to remove a policy which is not within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan.
M23	Policy E, page 26	Create new “ <i>Project: The Parish Council will seek to encourage applications for the development of more than one dwelling, or other larger proposals, to</i>	I recognise the importance of community involvement and the emphasis that the Neighbourhood Plan seeks to place upon it.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner’s recommendations to mitigate the removal of

		<i>incorporate a local statement of community involvement. This should explain how the community has been consulted; show that a range of means of engagement have been used; record the views expressed; and explain how these views have been taken into account. Prospective developers will be encouraged to submit the statement to the Parish Council."</i>		Policy E with the creation of a new project to demonstrate how important community involvement is.
M24	Community Involvement, page 26, para 15.1	Line one, change to " <i>The Parish Council seeks to encourage community...</i> "	Community involvement is of significant benefit, but it is not a statutory requirement and it is not open for the Neighbourhood Plan to seek to change the planning application system in the form of placing additional requirements upon developers and the local planning authority.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's modifications to ensure the text relates to the new project rather than referring to the deleted Policy.
M25	Community Involvement, page 26, para 15.1	Delete " <i>Many Policies allow for a degree of...will have a greater chance of approval.</i> "	Community involvement is of significant benefit, but it is not a statutory requirement and it is not open for the Neighbourhood Plan to seek to change the planning application system in the form of placing additional requirements upon developers and the local planning authority.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's modifications to ensure compliance with the NPPF.
Policy F: Sustainable Development				
M26	Sustainable Development, page 27, para 16.3	Line 4, add " <i>...been classified as a main river by the...</i> "	The Environment Agency has proposed a number of small additions to Paragraph 16.3. I consider that these add to the clarity and precision of the Neighbourhood Plan.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to improve the clarity and precision of the plan.
M27	Sustainable Development, page 27, para 16.3	Line 13, add " <i>...funded by individuals and the Environment Agency. There is a genuine...</i> "	The Environment Agency has proposed a number of small additions to Paragraph 16.3. I consider that these add to the clarity and precision of the Neighbourhood Plan.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to improve the clarity and precision of the plan.
M28	Sustainable Development, page 27, para	Add to the end " <i>...flooding problem. It is acknowledged that sustainable development that utilises the principles of</i>	The Environment Agency has proposed a number of small additions to Paragraph 16.3. I consider that these add to the clarity and precision of the	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations

	16.3	<i>the National Planning Policy Framework will, in so doing, prevent an increase in flood risk elsewhere."</i>	Neighbourhood Plan.	to improve the clarity and precision of the plan.
M29	Policy F, page 28	Delete the first and last sentences.	<p>The first part of Policy F requires any development on sites of more than 0.4 hectares to "<i>address any negative impact</i>" on "<i>services, infrastructure and facilities</i>." This is a vague and imprecise requirement. No indication is provided of what any negative impact might be and services, infrastructure and facilities amount to a broad range of things.</p> <p>Consequently, it is not clear how the first part of the Policy might be implemented and it fails to provide a decision maker with a clear indication of how to react to a development proposal, having regard to Paragraph 154 of the Framework.</p> <p>The last part of Policy F is imprecise. It requires development proposals on agricultural land to "<i>assess the impact on the remaining agricultural business and where appropriate provide mitigating measures</i>." No indication of what should be assessed, on what basis and why this would be a relevant land use planning matter is provided. No indication of when it would be appropriate to provide a mitigating measure, or what an appropriate mitigating measure needs to be, is provided.</p>	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to prevent the policy from being vague and imprecise.
M30	Sustainable Development, page 28, para 16.6	Change second sentence to "...businesses is <i>considered to be important</i> ." (delete rest of sentence).	No evidence is provided to demonstrate that national nor local planning policy seeks to " <i>mitigate</i> " the impacts of the development of good agricultural quality land on agricultural businesses; or that doing so contributes to the achievement of sustainable development.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations.
Policy G: Housing Type				
M31	Housing Type, page 29, para	Change first sentence to " <i>The Parish Council considers that proposals should</i>	Paragraph 17.9 on page 29 reads as though it forms part of a Policy, which it does not.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the

	17.9	<i>therefore include smaller housing designed to the latest accessible housing standards and that consideration should be given to...</i>		examiner's recommendations to ensure the text does not read as a policy.
M31	Policy G, page 30	Change Policy G to <i>"Developments of more than two dwellings should provide a mix of housing types and sizes to reflect the changing needs of an ageing demographic profile and the corresponding need for the provision of more smaller dwellings."</i>	<p>The Policy requires any development of more than one house to provide a mix of dwellings including dwellings with fewer than four bedrooms. Thus, whilst the development of one house comprising four or more bedrooms would comply with the Policy, a development of two houses could not include a dwelling of four or more bedrooms – as the Policy clearly sets out a requirement for <i>"dwellings."</i></p> <p>Further, taking this to its logical conclusion, a development comprising 98 dwellings with four or more bedrooms and two dwellings of less than four bedrooms would comply with the Policy.</p> <p>Policy G's lack of precision results in an imprecise Policy that fails to provide for a mix of dwellings and does not achieve its intention. As a consequence, the Policy does not have regard to national policy and advice and does not meet the basic conditions.</p>	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to ensure the Policy has regard to national policy and meets the Basic Conditions.
Policy H: Village Facilities and Services				
M33	Policy H, page 34	Line 2, change to <i>"...unless it can be demonstrated, further to a period of at least six months active marketing, that..."</i>	The Policy refers to <i>"a period"</i> of marketing. A period of time could comprise, for example, five minutes. To ensure Policy H is precise and has regard to national advice as set out in Planning Practice Guidance.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to make the policy more precise.
Policy I: Parking				
M34	Policy I, page 37	Change last sentence to <i>"The loss of public parking spaces will be resisted."</i>	Policy I is a positive policy that supports the improvement of central parking facilities. It has regard to national policy. However, the final part of the Policy reads as a statement, rather than a land use planning policy and it fails to provide for the balanced consideration of planning proposals.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to ensure the policy provides for the balanced consideration of planning proposals.

Policy J: Green Infrastructure				
M35	Green Infrastructure, page 39, para 20.7	Delete "Protection and on-going provision of these...Design and Development."	There is a mistake in Paragraph 20.7 where the supporting text refers to Policy C instead of Policy D. Furthermore, Policy D does not protect, or provide for "on-going provision of" green verges and boundaries.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove inaccurate text.
M36	Policy J, page 40	Policy J, delete and replace with " <i>The retention, improvement and/or linking of areas of green infrastructure, identified on Map 5, will be supported. The provision of street trees and increased provision of locally appropriate species of woodland is encouraged.</i> "	<p>Policy J seeks to protect and extend areas of green infrastructure. However, the Policy is set out in an unclear and imprecise manner.</p> <p>The first sentence of Policy J does not make sense. It states that where development is acceptable it should then go on to "ensure" various things. If a development is acceptable, then it is acceptable – there is no need for it to go on and ensure that other things take place.</p> <p>Furthermore, the first sentence of Policy J refers to areas "defined" on Maps 5, 6 and 7. The reference to the "Maps" is confusing and adds to the imprecise nature of Policy J. Map 6 is an Environment Agency Flood Risk map. It does not define areas of green infrastructure.</p> <p>Policy J does not identify "Local Green Space" and there is no suggestion that it seeks to introduce a policy requirement to rule out all development other than in very special circumstances. Further, there is no substantive evidence to demonstrate that Policy J has emerged through a process that has regard to Policies 76 and 77 of the Framework.</p>	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to provide a clear and precise policy.
M37	Maps, page 54, Map 7	Delete Map 7. Plan-makers may wish to include it in Appendix 1, however, in so doing, its title should be changed to " <i>Areas of green space</i> "	Map 7 is entitled " <i>Designated Green Space</i> " but none of the Policies of the Neighbourhood Plan designate " <i>Green Space</i> ." No indication of what a " <i>Green Space</i> " designation might comprise is provided. Whilst I note that a table in Appendix 1 " <i>describes the Green Space available in Collingham,</i> " this is simply background	Agree to relocate Map 7 to Appendix 1 and change its title to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove a plan showing "green space" that is not designated by a

			information and does not form part of a Policy.	policy of the Neighbourhood Plan and therefore is simply background information.
Policy K: Footpaths, Cycleways and Bridleways				
M38	Footpaths, Cycleways and Bridleways, page 41, para 21.5	Second sentence, change to " <i>The Parish Council would like these to be safeguarded...</i> "	Part of Paragraph 21.5 on page 41 reads as though it was a Policy, which it is not.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to ensure the text does not read as a policy.
M39	Policy K, page 42	Change Policy K to " <i>The protection, improvement and expansion of the public rights of way network will be supported.</i> "	<p>The wording of the Policy imposes potentially onerous requirements on development, regardless of whether such requirements are necessary, directly related to development and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to development. Consequently, the Policy does not have regard to Paragraph 204 of the Framework, which requires planning obligations to meet these three tests.</p> <p>In addition, the final sentence of Policy K requires development to take into account something that doesn't exist and which may never exist. It is not the role of land use planning policies to impose such requirements on development and there is no evidence to demonstrate that doing so has regard to Paragraph 204 of the Framework, or to Paragraph 173, which requires plans to pay careful attention to viability and be deliverable.</p>	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove potentially onerous requirements on development.
Policy L: Highway Safety and Traffic Impact				
M40	Policy L, page 44	Delete Policy L	Policy L is imprecise and it does not have regard to national policy. It does not contribute to the achievement of sustainable development and it fails to meet the basic conditions.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove a policy that does not meet the Basic Conditions.
Policy M: Footway and Pedestrian Safety				
M41	Footway and Pedestrian Safety,	Last sentence, change to "...Harewood Road. <i>The Parish Council considers that</i>	Part of Paragraph 23.4 on page 45 reads as though it was a Policy, which it is not.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the

	page 45, para 23.4	<i>such measures, if introduced, should follow the principles set out in the..."</i>		examiner's recommendations to ensure the text does not read as a policy.
M42	Policy M, page 46	Delete Policy M	Policy M is vague and imprecise. It does not have regard to national policy and does not meet the basic conditions.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to remove a policy that does not meet the Basic Conditions.
M43	Policy M, page 46, Projects	In the green box, add a " <i>Project: the Parish Council will seek to work with third parties to provide new crossings on the A659 and the A58 and complete footways and provide new surfacing and drop kerbs on routes leading to the village centre and primary school.</i> "	Policy M does not have regard to national policy. It does not meet the basic conditions.	Agree to modify the text as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations to mitigate the removal of Policy M with the creation of a new project.
The Neighbourhood Plan: Other Matters				
M44	Formatting the Neighbourhood Plan	Update the Contents page (page 3) and page/paragraph numbering to reflect the recommendations above.	The recommendations made in this Report will have a subsequent impact on page numbering and Contents.	Agree to modify the text and formatting as indicated to comply with the examiner's recommendations.